

Durham Research Online

Deposited in DRO:

11 February 2020

Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Wagnild, J.M. and Pollard, T.M. (2020) 'Associations between television time and activPAL-measured duration and pattern of sedentary time among pregnant women at risk of gestational diabetes in the UK.', *Journal of physical activity and health.*, 17 (4). pp. 471-474.

Further information on publisher's website:

<https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.2019-0315>

Publisher's copyright statement:

Accepted author manuscript version reprinted, by permission, from Wagnild, JM Pollard, TM (2020). Associations Between Television Time and activPAL-Measured Duration and Pattern of Sedentary Time Among Pregnant Women at Risk of Gestational Diabetes in the UK. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health* 17(4): 471-474
<https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.2019-0315>. © Human Kinetics, Inc.

Additional information:

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

Associations between television time and activPAL-measured duration and pattern of
sedentary time among pregnant women at risk of gestational diabetes in the UK

Running head: TV and patterns of sedentary time

Manuscript type: Brief Report

Keywords: sedentary behavior, prolonged sedentary time, television time

Main text word count: 2700

Abstract word count: 198

Date of manuscript submission: June 20, 2019

Janelle M Wagnild* & Tessa M Pollard

Department of Anthropology

Dawson Building

Durham University

South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom

* Corresponding author, j.m.wagnild@dur.ac.uk

Abstract

Background: Television time is associated with poor cardiometabolic health outcomes. This finding is commonly attributed to duration of sitting or patterns of sitting associated with high TV time but there is very little evidence on this link. **Methods:** Pregnant women (n=167) at risk of gestational diabetes wore an activPAL accelerometer and self-reported their usual TV time in the second trimester. Generalized linear mixed models were used to compare objectively measured total sedentary time (ST), prolonged ST (bouts ≥ 30 minutes), and breaks in ST for all hours and evening hours (6pm-11pm) between those with high (≥ 2 h/day) and low TV time. **Results:** Over all waking hours, those with high TV time had fewer breaks in ST than those with low TV time ($\exp(b)$ 0.92 (95%CI 0.86, 0.998)); there were no differences in total ST or prolonged ST between the two groups. Those with high TV time had significantly higher evening ST ($b=9.9$ (95%CI 0.5, 19.2)); there were no differences in prolonged ST or breaks in ST during evening hours. **Conclusions:** These findings suggest that high TV time may be associated with higher evening ST and fewer breaks in ST. The link between TV time and sitting patterns requires further investigation.

Keywords: sedentary time, television time, activPAL

Introduction

Television time is consistently linked with poor health outcomes, including all-cause mortality and incident type 2 diabetes¹. Within epidemiological studies, the associations between television time and cardiometabolic health outcomes are generally interpreted to be effects of sitting. However, the association between TV time and poor health outcomes is stronger than the association between total sitting time¹⁻³ or time spent sitting in other contexts⁴. Discussions of possible explanations for the relatively large effects of TV time

compared to total sedentary time are ongoing and speculative. While the possibility of confounding effects by socioeconomic position⁵, or factors such as snacking¹, have been put forward as potential explanations, the type of sitting associated with TV time may play an important role. For example, based on experimental evidence showing that breaking up sitting is associated with lower glucose and insulin levels compared to uninterrupted bouts of sitting⁶, it has been suggested that watching TV might be associated with prolonged ST, and be detrimental for that reason^{1,2,7}. It has also been suggested that the timing of TV (in the evening) might interfere with postprandial glucose metabolism^{1,2}. However, to our knowledge, these possibilities have not been empirically tested.

The aim of this paper is to compare the duration and patterns of sedentary time between those with high and low TV time among a sample of pregnant women with a risk factor for gestational diabetes in the UK.

Methods

Study sample

Participants were pregnant women with a risk factor for gestational diabetes (i.e., BMI ≥ 30 at 8 weeks' gestation, previous gestational diabetes, family history of diabetes, previous macrosomia, or ethnicity associated with high diabetes prevalence) with a singleton pregnancy who were enrolled in a study examining associations between sedentary time and incident gestational diabetes³. Participants were recruited from two NHS hospitals in the North East of England when they attended the clinic for their 12-week ultrasound scan. A total of 326 women were recruited; 167 provided complete data sets (sedentary time, TV time, and all covariates) and were used as the analytical sample; reasons for withdrawal and incomplete data are detailed elsewhere³. Ethics approval was provided by the South Central

Oxford B NHS Research Ethics Committee; all participants provided written informed consent prior to participation.

Measures

Sedentary time was measured using the activPAL3 which is the gold standard for the measurement of sedentary time⁸ and sit-to-stand transitions ('breaks')⁹ in free-living contexts. The activPAL was worn by participants for 24 hours per day for seven days at 20 weeks' gestation (second trimester). During the wear period, participants were asked to record the times they went to bed each night and rose each morning on provided sleep diaries. activPAL data were processed via automated algorithm¹⁰ with manual correction against the sleep diaries³. Data sets were considered valid if they contained at least four 24-hour days of measurement¹¹. We did not require one of those days be a weekend day, although 97% of participants who provided four valid measurement days provided at least one weekend day. Sedentary time (minutes), prolonged sedentary time (uninterrupted bout of sedentary time lasting ≥ 30 minutes¹¹), and breaks in sedentary time (number of sit-to-stand transitions) were the outcome variables of interest.

At the time of accelerometer fitting (20 weeks' gestation), participants were also asked to report the amount of time they usually spent watching television per day in the second trimester (none, <30 minutes, 30 minutes to less than 2 hours, 2 hours to less than 4 hours, 4 hours to less than 6 hours, ≥ 6 hours). Responses were dichotomized as less than or ≥ 2 hours per day as ≥ 2 hours of daily television time has been linked with poor health outcomes^{3,12}.

Participants provided demographic information about themselves on the study enrolment form. BMI (from approximately 8 weeks' gestation) was extracted from medical records.

101

102 *Statistical analyses*

103 Linear mixed models were used to examine the daily and hourly patterning of sedentary time

104 with measurement day or hour, respectively, nested within participant as a random effect.

105 Hourly analyses were limited to between 08:00 and 21:59 reflecting the mean rising time and

106 bedtime in this sample based on participants' sleep diaries. Only hours which registered 60

107 minutes of waking wear were included in analyses.

108

109 The associations between TV time and total sedentary time were assessed using linear mixed

110 models (sedentary time measurement day nested within participant), adjusted for waking

111 accelerometer wear time and recruitment site (Model 1) and additional adjustment for age

112 and BMI (continuous variables), marital status (married/cohabiting or not), children at home

113 (any or none), and smoking status (any smoking during this pregnancy or not) (Model 2).

114 Similar models were constructed for prolonged sedentary time (generalized linear mixed

115 model with binary outcome dichotomized at the median due to non-normal distribution) and

116 breaks in sedentary time (zero-truncated Poisson model). Analyses were repeated for evening

117 hours only (6pm to 11pm), adjusted for evening waking time. We used the cutoff of 11pm

118 instead of 10pm in these analyses to avoid truncating any potentially important variation in

119 evening waking/sedentary time; as the vast majority of the sample (88%) were usually in bed

120 by 11pm based on sleep diaries, we did not extend our analyses beyond this time.

121

122 **Results**123 The mean (SD) age and BMI of the sample were 31 (5) years and 34.6 (5.6) kg/m²,

124 respectively. The mean sedentary time for the sample was 577 minutes (SD=148.6) per day,

125 which was 65% of waking time. Sedentary time did not significantly differ across days of the

week ($p=0.10$). Estimated marginal means for daily sedentary time (Figure 1a) indicated that Sunday had the highest (598 (95%CI 552, 644) minutes) and Monday had the lowest (564 (95%CI 517, 613) minutes) sedentary time. When hourly sedentary time was plotted (all days combined), the majority of each waking hour (between 8am and 10pm) was spent sedentary (Figure 1b). The waking hours with the highest proportion of sedentary time (>45 minutes per hour) occurred between 8pm and 10pm (Figure 1b). Just over a third of the sample ($n=60$, 36%) reported high (≥ 2 h/day) TV time.

The association between TV time and total sedentary time was non-significant (Table 1). Estimated marginal means indicated that the sedentary time of those with high and low TV time was 597 (95%CI 543, 651) and 567 (95%CI 528, 607) minutes per day, respectively. There was no difference in likelihood of high prolonged sedentary time between those with high versus low TV time (Table 1). Those with high TV time had fewer breaks in sedentary time (in the fully adjusted model) compared to those with low TV time (Table 1, Model 2). Estimated marginal means indicate that those with high and low TV time had 47 (95%CI 44, 51) and 51 (95%CI 48, 55) breaks in sedentary time per day, respectively.

When considering only evening hours (6pm to 11pm), those with high TV time had significantly higher sedentary time in the evening than those with low TV time (Table 1). There was no difference in likelihood of high prolonged evening sedentary time between the two groups (Table 1). There was also no difference in the number of breaks in sedentary time in the evening hours (Table 1).

Discussion

In this sample of pregnant women, sedentary time was the highest in the evenings and on Sundays. Those with higher television time (≥ 2 hours per day) had significantly higher sedentary time in the evenings (after 6pm) than those with low television time and had fewer breaks in sedentary time across the entire day. There were no differences in total sedentary time, prolonged sedentary time (in total or in the evenings), or evening breaks in sedentary time between the two groups.

The relationship between TV time and total sedentary time has been previously examined in samples of adults^{13,14}, including activPAL-measured sedentary time¹⁴. Both studies reported weak but significant correlations between self-reported TV time (as a continuous variable) and objectively measured sedentary time ($\rho=0.22$ (95% CI 0.20, 0.25)¹³ and ($\rho=0.16$ (95% CI 0.09, 0.24)¹⁴). In our sample, those with high TV time had about 30 minutes more sedentary time per day than those with low TV time, but this was not statistically significant.

To our knowledge, no other studies have investigated associations between TV time and prolonged sedentary time or breaks in sedentary time in free-living contexts. Our findings suggest that those with higher TV time had fewer breaks in sedentary time across the day than those with low TV time. However, this difference equates to roughly 4 fewer breaks per day; the significance of this difference for health is unclear. There were no differences in the likelihood of high prolonged sedentary time between the two groups.

No studies that we know of have investigated the relationship between TV time and patterns of sedentary time in the evening. In this sample, evening total sedentary time was higher (by about 9 minutes per evening) among those with high TV time compared to among those with low TV time. There was no difference in high prolonged sedentary time or breaks in

sedentary time in the evening between those with high and low TV time. This suggests that while those with high TV time had higher total sedentary time in the evening, it was not necessarily prolonged in nature.

While these data are based on a sample of pregnant women at high risk of gestational diabetes, their daily sedentary time does not appear substantially different from the sedentary time reported in studies that used similar methods (activPAL with continuous-wear protocol) among samples of adults. For example, the mean daily sedentary time in this sample (577 minutes per day) is similar to the mean sedentary time reported among population-based samples of adults (men and women) in the Netherlands (567 minutes)¹⁵ and women in Australia (513 minutes)¹⁴. The prevalence of high TV time in this sample (36%) is lower than the prevalence of high TV time (≥ 2 hours per day) reported in a population-based sample of women in Northern Ireland (44%)¹⁶, suggesting the TV time in this sample is not unusually high. Furthermore, participants in this study wore the activPAL in the middle of their second trimester (20 weeks' gestation), the stage of pregnancy usually associated with fewer pregnancy symptoms (e.g., nausea, fatigue, changes in body size and shape)¹⁷ and higher physical activity levels¹⁸ compared to earlier and later stages of pregnancy.

Taken together, these results contribute to the ongoing debate concerning whether the associations between TV and poor health outcomes may be linked to the way in which sitting is patterned. Our finding that those with high TV time had higher sedentary time in the evening provides some support to the hypothesis that TV might be detrimental because it is associated with more sitting in the evening, which may potentially affect postprandial glucose metabolism¹. Furthermore, it has been suggested that sitting time while watching TV may be prolonged, and detrimental for that reason^{1,7}. While television time was not associated with

higher prolonged sedentary time in this sample, it was associated with fewer breaks (~4) in sedentary time across the entire day. While it is unclear whether this small difference is clinically meaningful, it does lend some support to the hypothesis that those with high TV time have fewer sit-to-stand transitions.

Study limitations and strengths

The findings of this study should be interpreted in light of its limitations. We did not have a continuous measure of TV time which impeded a more precise estimation of its association with accelerometry variables. The size of our sample was powered to test associations between sedentary time and gestational diabetes³ and may be underpowered for detecting differences in sedentary patterns between the two groups. The generalizability of the study's findings may be limited as the sample was pregnant women with a risk factor for gestational diabetes. The main strength of this study is the use of a gold-standard measurement of sitting in free-living contexts.

Conclusion

In this sample, those with high TV time had higher evening sitting time and fewer breaks in sedentary time throughout the day. There were no significant differences in total sitting time, prolonged sitting time, or evening breaks in sedentary time between the two groups. Further research is needed to understand the role that patterns of sitting while watching TV might contribute to links between TV time and poor health outcomes.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Eileen Walton (Research Midwife), Kim Hinshaw (Consultant Obstetrician), and the research midwives at Sunderland Royal Hospital, and Malcolm

MacDougall (Consultant in Obstetrics and Maternal Medicine, Royal Victoria Infirmary) and the clinical trials assistants at the Royal Victoria Infirmary for their collaboration and support in recruitment and data collection. We are grateful to the participants in this study, without whom this work would not have been possible.

Funding source

This work was supported by the National Institute for Health Research Clinical Research Network (NIHR CRN) and a postgraduate fieldwork bursary from the Biosocial Society. JMW was supported by Durham University.

References

1. Patterson R, McNamara E, Tainio M, et al. Sedentary behaviour and risk of all-cause, cardiovascular and cancer mortality, and incident type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and dose response meta-analysis. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2018;33(9):811-829.
2. Ekelund U, Steene-Johannessen J, Brown WJ, et al. Does physical activity attenuate, or even eliminate, the detrimental association of sitting time with mortality? A harmonised meta-analysis of data from more than 1 million men and women. *Lancet.* 2016;388(10051):1302-1310.
3. Wagnild JM, Hinshaw K, Pollard TM. Associations of sedentary time and self-reported television time during pregnancy with incident gestational diabetes and plasma glucose levels in women at risk of gestational diabetes in the UK. *BMC Public Health.* 2019;19(1):575.
4. Dempsey PC, Hadgraft NT, Winkler EAH, et al. Associations of context-specific sitting time with markers of cardiometabolic risk in Australian adults. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2018;15(1):114.

- 250 5. Stamatakis E, Ekelund U, Ding D, Hamer M, Bauman AE, Lee IM. Is the time right
251 for quantitative public health guidelines on sitting? A narrative review of sedentary
252 behaviour research paradigms and findings. *Br J Sports Med.* 2018;53:377-382.
- 253 6. Dunstan D, Kingwell B, Larsen R, Healy G, Cerin E, Hamilton M. Breaking up
254 prolonged sitting reduces postprandial glucose and insulin responses. *Diabetes Care.*
255 2012;35:976-983.
- 256 7. Saidj M, Jørgensen T, Jacobsen RK, Linneberg A, Aadahl M. Separate and Joint
257 Associations of Occupational and Leisure-Time Sitting with Cardio-Metabolic Risk
258 Factors in Working Adults: A Cross-Sectional Study. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(8):e70213.
- 259 8. Kozey-Keadle S, Libertine A, Lyden K, Staudenmayer J, Freedson P. Validation of
260 wearable monitors for assessing sedentary behaviour. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*
261 2011;43:1561-1567.
- 262 9. Lyden K, Kozey Keadle SL, Staudenmayer JW, Freedson PS. Validity of two
263 wearable monitors to estimate breaks from sedentary time. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*
264 2012;44(11):2243-2252.
- 265 10. Winkler EA, Bodicoat DH, Healy GN, et al. Identifying adults' valid waking wear
266 time by automated estimation in activPAL data collected with a 24 h wear protocol.
267 *Physiol Meas.* 2016;37(10):1653-1668.
- 268 11. Bellettiere J, Winkler EAH, Chastin SFM, et al. Associations of sitting accumulation
269 patterns with cardio-metabolic risk biomarkers in Australian adults. *PLoS One.*
270 2017;12(6):e0180119.
- 271 12. Dunstan DW, Salmon J, Owen N, et al. Physical activity and television viewing in
272 relation to risk of undiagnosed abnormal glucose metabolism in adults. *Diabetes*
273 *Care.* 2004;27(11):2603-2609.

- 274 13. Clark BK, Healy GN, Winkler EA, et al. Relationship of television time with
 275 accelerometer-derived sedentary time: NHANES. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*
 276 2011;43(5):822-828.
- 277 14. Clark BK, Lynch BM, Winkler EAH, et al. Validity of a multi-context sitting
 278 questionnaire across demographically diverse population groups: AusDiab3. *Int J*
 279 *Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2015;12(1):148.
- 280 15. de Rooij BH, van der Berg JD, van der Kallen CJ, et al. Physical Activity and
 281 Sedentary Behavior in Metabolically Healthy versus Unhealthy Obese and Non-
 282 Obese Individuals - The Maastricht Study. *PLoS One.* 2016;11(5):e0154358.
- 283 16. Townsend N, Wickramasinghe K, Williams J, Bhatnagar P, Rayner M. *Physical*
 284 *Activity Statistics 2015.* London: British Heart Foundation; 2015.
- 285 17. Bennett EV, McEwen CE, Clarke LH, Tamminen KA, Crocker PRE. ‘It’s all about
 286 modifying your expectations’: Women’s experiences with physical activity during
 287 pregnancy. *Qual Res Sport Exerc Health.* 2013;5(2):267-286.
- 288 18. Evenson KR, Wen F. Prevalence and correlates of objectively measured physical
 289 activity and sedentary behavior among US pregnant women. *Prev Med.* 2011;53(1-
 290 2):39-43.

291

292

Table 1. Associations between high versus low TV time and activPAL-measured variables

(n=167)

	Model 1	Model 2
All waking hours		
Total sedentary time (min/day)	<i>b</i> (95%CI) 29.7 (-0.91, 60.4)	<i>b</i> (95%CI) 27.8 (-2.63, 58.3)
High prolonged ST (>137.1min)	<i>OR</i> (95%CI) 1.17 (0.82, 1.69)	<i>OR</i> (95%CI) 1.20 (0.83, 1.73)
Breaks in ST (number/day)	<i>exp(b)</i> (95%CI) 0.93 (0.86, 1.01)	<i>exp(b)</i> 95%CI 0.92 (0.86, 0.998)*
Evening hours (6pm to 11pm)		
Total sedentary time (min/day)	<i>b</i> (95%CI) 9.34 (0.06, 18.60)*	<i>b</i> (95%CI) 9.86 (0.50, 19.20)*
High prolonged ST (>53.9min)	<i>OR</i> (95%CI) 1.11 (0.79, 1.56)	<i>OR</i> (95%CI) 1.15 (0.82, 1.63)
Breaks in ST (number/day)	<i>exp(b)</i> (95%CI) 0.95 (0.87, 1.04)	<i>exp(b)</i> 95%CI 0.95 (0.87, 1.04)

* p<0.05

In all models, referent group is <2h/day TV time

Model 1 adjusted for waking time and recruitment site

Model 2 additionally adjusted for age, BMI, children, marital status, smoking status

Figure 1. Patterning of total sedentary time by (a) day of the week and (b) hour of the day (waking hours only). The dashed line in (a) represents the grand mean.

